

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Washington

HAND DELIVERED

ABC

REC'D BY

COPY RECEIVED

JAN 22 2019

TIME: 9:10 AM  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
Seattle, WA

S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M., minors, by Bruce A.  
Wolf, their guardian ad litem

Plaintiff(s)

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00069-RSL

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
United States Attorney's Office  
Civil Process Clerk  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Timothy R. Tesh  
Ressler & Tesh, PLLC  
821 Second Avenue, Suite 2200  
Seattle, WA 98104

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 01/16/2019

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk



AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 2:19-cv-00069-RSL

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))*

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

☐ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: \_\_\_\_\_

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

HAND DELIVERED  
MSGR: ABC REC'D BY: Mike Marzano  
COPY RECEIVED

JAN 22 2019

TIME: 9:10 AM  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
Seattle, WA

HAND DELIVERED

SGR: ABC REC'D BY: Mike

COPY RECEIVED

JAN 22 2019

TIME: 9:10 A.M.  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
Seattle, WA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M., minors, by  
Bruce A. Wolf, their guardian ad litem,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

No.

COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL AND  
PHYSICAL INJURIES AND DAMAGES

Plaintiffs S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M., through their litigation guardian ad litem Bruce  
A. Wolf, allege:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Plaintiffs S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M. for years remained in the care and  
custody of their biological mother, which resulted in them being subjected to sexual abuse and  
neglect over that period. The Muckleshoot Indian Tribe ("Tribe") received multiple complaints  
about the abuse and neglect that the children were suffering. Eventually, after completing  
several investigations, the Tribe concluded that the reports of abuse and neglect were founded.  
The children were removed from the home of their mother on at least two occasions and

COMPLAINT – 1

LAW OFFICES OF RESSLER & TESH  
821 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2200  
SEATTLE, WA 98104  
(206) 388-0333

1 transferred to other homes on the Muckleshoot reservation. Yet the children were always  
2 returned by the Tribe to the home of their mother, even though they faced regular contact with a  
3 registered sex offender there. Although the Tribe knew these children would be exposed to this  
4 sex offender, it did nothing to protect them from him.

5 The children fared no better in some of the homes they were placed in after being  
6 removed from their mother's home. The Tribe failed to complete a home study for any of the  
7 homes in which these children were placed. The Tribe knew or should have known that the  
8 homes these children were placed in presented a substantial risk to their health, safety, and  
9 welfare. The Tribe's conduct evidenced a complete disregard for the health, safety, and welfare  
10 of the children they owed a duty to protect. As a result of the Tribe's negligent failure to protect  
11 these children, Plaintiffs were repeatedly sexually abused by persons who should never have  
12 had access to them.

## 13 **II. PARTIES**

14 2.1 Plaintiff S.B. is a child who was born in 2002.

15 2.2 Plaintiff M.B. is a child who was born in 2003.

16 2.3 Plaintiff J.M. Jr. is a child who was born in 2005.

17 2.4 Plaintiff G.M. is a child who was born in 2008.

18 2.5 Bruce A. Wolf was appointed by the King County Superior Court as the guardian  
19 ad litem for the Plaintiffs in this litigation.

20 2.6 Defendant United States of America is a sovereign nation and at all relevant  
21 times provided funding, through its sub-agencies the Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA") and the  
22 Department of Health and Human Services ("DHHS"), to the Tribe, including funding for  
23 programs intended to assure the health, safety, and welfare of Plaintiffs. Employees of the Tribe

1 were directly involved in providing services and programs, which were funded through Indian  
2 Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act ("ISDEAA") contracts with Defendant, for  
3 the benefit and protection of S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M.

### 4 **III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5 3.1 This action is brought under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2674, 28  
6 U.S.C. § 1346(b), and 25 U.S.C. § 5321. The alleged acts and omissions committed by the Tribe  
7 occurred in the Western District of Washington.

8 3.2 On February 27, 2018, each Plaintiff filed a claim for damages with the BIA  
9 under 28 U.S.C. § 2672. On August 16, 2018, these claims were denied.

10 3.3. On February 27, 2018, each Plaintiff filed a claim for damages with DHHS  
11 under 28 U.S.C. § 2672. DHHS did not respond to Plaintiffs' claims within the time limits  
12 established by statute.

### 13 **IV. FACTS**

14 4.1 S.B. and M.B. are the biological children of Jana Bellack and Nickolas Bakke.  
15 Beginning in 2006, S.B. and M.B. lived with Jana Bellack and Jonathan S. Moses Sr.

16 4.2 J.M. Jr. and G.M. are the biological children of Jonathan S. Moses Sr. and Jana  
17 Bellack.

18 4.3 In 2013, Plaintiffs were removed from the care and custody of Bellack by the  
19 Tribe, based on its finding that the children had been abused and neglected.

20 4.4 Between 2001 and 2013, and prior to the aforementioned removal, the Tribe and  
21 the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services ("DSHS") received at least 20  
22 reports warranting "screen in" designation concerning the four children. All the reports involved  
23



1 allegations of abuse and neglect. Three of these reports resulted in founded allegations of  
2 neglect.

3 4.5 In 2005, and again in 2007, the Tribe and DSHS removed Plaintiffs from  
4 Bellack's home and temporarily placed them with relatives.

5 4.6 Although the Tribe and DSHS were aware that Bellack was living with a  
6 registered sex offender, they returned Plaintiffs to Bellack. They did not require that the sex  
7 offender move from the residence prior to returning the children.

8 4.7 While the children were in Bellack's home, DSHS and the Tribe received  
9 multiple reports of sexual abuse, neglect, the use of alcohol and methamphetamines by the  
10 adults charged with the care of the children. The Tribe and DSHS ignored these reports.

11 4.8 On May 13, 2005, DSHS and the Tribe received a referral that M.B. was  
12 whimpering, continually putting her hands down her pants, and scratching herself. When M.B.'s  
13 diaper was removed, the referent noticed that the child's "whole bottom had a huge blanket of  
14 scabs." In this report it was noted that Moses Sr. was a Level 2 registered sex offender, and that  
15 he lived with Bellack and her children.

16 4.9 On May 31, 2005, Francis Cacalda of the Tribe was assigned to complete an  
17 investigative assessment and follow up on the DSHS intake report from May 13, 2005. The  
18 referral was declared unfounded and further investigation was not completed. Nothing was done  
19 by either the Tribe or DSHS regarding the report of the children living with a convicted felon  
20 and a registered sex offender.

21 4.10 On October 21, 2005, Bellack was involved in a car accident while intoxicated.  
22 At the time of the accident, Plaintiffs were passengers in the car. M.B. suffered a broken arm.  
23 The report made to the Tribe and to DSHS concerning the drunken driving and the injuries

1 sustained by M.B. included reference to S.B. and M.B. living with Moses Sr., who was noted to  
2 be a registered sex offender. No immediate action was taken by DSHS or the Tribe regarding  
3 the facts that the Plaintiffs were living with a registered sex offender, or that their mother had  
4 injured her her child while driving drunk.

5 4.11 On March 6, 2006, DSHS completed its investigative assessment regarding the  
6 October 21, 2005 car accident. This report of abuse and neglect was determined to be founded.  
7 DSHS and the Tribe removed S.B., M.B., and J.M. Jr. from the custody of their mother and  
8 temporarily placed them with extended family members. The case was subsequently closed.  
9 DSHS and the Tribe moved the children from their temporary placement back to the home of  
10 their mother, where registered sex offender Moses Sr. still resided. The tribal and state social  
11 workers knew that Moses Sr. was living in the home when they chose to place the children  
12 there.

13 4.12 On January 17, 2007, DSHS and the Tribe opened an investigation in response to  
14 a report that Bellack was intoxicated during a domestic violence incident in her home. DSHS  
15 noted that Bellack was "extremely intoxicated and . . . unable to provide adequate care and  
16 supervision." As part of the investigative assessment of Bellack, she was "found to be  
17 intoxicated during welfare check by police and when CPS visited." Based on the actions of  
18 Bellack, S.B., M.B., and J.M. Jr. were placed with relatives. The children were later permitted  
19 to return to Bellack's home, where registered sex offender Moses Sr. was still residing. The  
20 report relating to the removal and return of the children again noted that Moses Sr. continued to  
21 live in the home, and that he was a a registered sex offender.

1           4.13 On April 29, 2008, DSHS and the Tribe received a report that M.B. had  
2 disclosed that "my dad always whoops my ass." It was understood that she was referring to  
3 Moses Sr. No action was taken by DSHS or the Tribe.

4           4.14 On March 18, 2009, DSHS and the Tribe received a report that M.B. "had a rash  
5 down there and M.B. pointed to her private area," and that she had been complaining for a  
6 week. M.B. was described as having poor hygiene and wearing dirty clothes. The report  
7 included details about Moses Sr., including that he was "convicted, in 1995, of Attempted Rape  
8 1." It was noted by DSHS that there was a referral received on November 6, 2008, and that the  
9 Tribe had failed to take any action regarding that referral.

10          4.15 On March 19, 2009, another report was received by DSHS and the Tribe. The  
11 report repeatedly referred to the fact that Moses Sr. was a registered sex offender. In addition,  
12 Plaintiffs had reported that they were hungry and didn't have any food in their home. It was  
13 noted that Plaintiffs had a history of suffering abuse and neglect.

14          4.16 On October 12, 2009, an investigation assessment was completed for the reports  
15 made on March 18 and 19, 2009. DSHS concluded that the allegations in these reports were  
16 unfounded. In the investigation notes, social workers again noted that Moses Sr. was a  
17 registered sex offender and that he was residing at Bellack's home.

18          4.17 On December 1, 2011, it was reported to DSHS and the Tribe that S.B. had a  
19 black eye. In that report it was again noted that Moses Sr. was a registered sex offender. In  
20 addition, the DSHS social worker noted the following:

21           "[D]iscussed with the Muckleshoot Case manager Laurel Kelly that father Johnny  
22 Moses Sr. who is the live-in boyfriend of Jana Bellack is a Level 2 [registered sex  
23 offender] and they had no concerns. The Muckleshoot tribe stated that this case  
should [be] closed out because the father's convictions are old, and the father  
Johnny Moses was nineteen years old at the time of the incident and the victim  
was fifteen years old at the time of his conviction."



1           4.18 DSHS and the Tribe accepted Bellack and Moses Sr.'s self-report that the  
2 conviction was for statutory rape, even though DSHS records noted the crime to be Attempted  
3 Rape in the First Degree, contrary to Bellack and Moses Sr.'s self-report. DSHS and the Tribe  
4 ignored State, Federal, and Tribal rules and policies that disqualified a person with a conviction  
5 of this crime from having unsupervised access to children.

6           4.19 The Tribe made no attempt to obtain the criminal records of the Attempted Rape  
7 in the First Degree conviction. The records of that crime describe Moses Sr. using a six-inch  
8 blade in his attempt to rape his victim.

9           4.20 In addition, the Tribe did not attempt to obtain Moses Sr.'s criminal history,  
10 which included convictions for Assault in the Second Degree and Unlawful Firearm Possession,  
11 in addition to his sex offense conviction.

12           4.21 On March 14, 2012, DSHS and the Tribe received a report that S.B. had been  
13 displaying "anger rages" at school. The referrer stated these types of incidents had occurred  
14 multiple times since October 2011. DSHS once again noted that Moses Sr. resided in the same  
15 house as S.B. and that he was "a [registered sex offender] Level 2." In the investigative  
16 assessment completed on June 10, 2012, DSHS concluded that the case was unfounded and  
17 closed it without action, purportedly because Bellack was "aware of her child's behavioral [sic]  
18 at school." The social worker who determined that the referral was unfounded noted in the  
19 report that S.B. was "very scared and does not want to go home." The report provides no  
20 additional information about why the child was afraid to be at home.

21           4.22 On May 2, 2013, Plaintiffs were removed from Bellack's home after M.B. was  
22 accidentally shot in the leg while she and a sibling were handling a loaded rifle. The incident  
23 was referred to DSHS and the Tribe. It was reported that the rifle was kept under a bed and was

1 unsecured. The police officer responding to the scene stated that the “living conditions in the  
2 home were filthy and disgusting and that he had taken photographs of the home.”

3 4.23 On or around May 3, 2013, M.B. was placed with Moses Sr.’s sister, Sarah  
4 Moses. No home study was completed prior to this placement. No background checks were  
5 completed on Sarah Moses or the other adults residing in her home with access to M.B. Sarah  
6 Moses’ partner, whose first name was Juan, lived in her home. Plaintiffs were abused and  
7 neglected while in Sarah Moses’ home by both Sarah Moses and Juan. No health and safety  
8 visits were completed during the time of this placement.

9 4.24 S.B. and M.B., at different times over the following months, were placed with  
10 Shawny Starr, who was not related to the children. Starr was a relative of the Tribe’s foster  
11 home licensor, although Starr herself was not licensed. No home study was completed prior to  
12 the placement of these children in this unlicensed home. No background checks were completed  
13 on Starr or any of the adults residing in her home. At that time, Starr was living with a person  
14 named Iosefa Aukusitino.

15 4.25 S.B. and M.B. were abused and neglected while in Starr’s home, including  
16 sexual abuse by Aukusitino. No health and safety visits were completed by DSHS or the Tribe  
17 while the children were living in this unlicensed placement.

18 4.26 On July 24, 2014, S.B. was placed at Ryther Center for Children & Youth. On  
19 October 20, 2014, S.B. underwent a psychological exam performed by Dr. Rochelle Coffey, a  
20 psychologist at the center. She diagnosed S.B. with PTSD and a history of neglect. Dr. Coffey  
21 expounded on S.B.’s childhood experience, opining that “repeated physical and emotional abuse  
22 and neglect has resulted in complex developmental trauma.”  
23

1 4.27 M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M. have also exhibited signs of significant trauma from the  
2 abuse and neglect they suffered over the years.

3 **V. CAUSES OF ACTION**

4 **COUNT I: NEGLIGENT INVESTIGATION**

5 5.1 Defendant owed a duty to S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M. to properly investigate  
6 reports related to Plaintiffs and the Bellack home. In addition, Defendants had a duty to  
7 properly investigate and monitor the other homes where Plaintiffs were placed.

8 5.2 Defendant breached this duty by conducting a faulty investigation.

9 5.3 Defendant breach proximately caused a harmful placement decision which  
10 resulted in severe injuries to S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M.

11 **COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE**

12 5.4 Defendant owed a duty to protect S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M. against child  
13 abuse and neglect.

14 5.5 Defendant breached this duty by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation of  
15 reports related to Plaintiffs and the Bellack home. In addition, Defendant failed to properly  
16 investigate and monitor the other homes in which Plaintiffs were placed.

17 5.6 Defendant's breach proximately caused S.B., M.B., J.M. Jr., and G.M. to suffer  
18 severe injuries.

19 **VI. INJURIES AND DAMAGES**

20 6.1 As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's acts and omissions, Plaintiffs  
21 have sustained past general and special damages and will sustain future general and special  
22 damages, including, but not limited to:

23 a. Past, present, and future pain and suffering, both physical and emotional;

- b. Past present, and future psychological trauma and impairment;
- c. Past, present, and future loss of enjoyment of life;
- d. Medical bills and other expenses for future treatment;
- e. Loss of earning capacity; and
- f. Loss of educational opportunities.

6.2 All of the above damages are in an amount which will be proved at trial.

## VII. RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 7.1. For an award of general damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 7.2. For an award of special damages, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- 7.3. For an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- 7.4. For such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019.

s/ Timothy R. Tesh  
Allen M. Ressler, WSBA #5330  
Timothy R. Tesh, WSBA #28249  
Jonathan E. Van Eck, WSBA #47755  
Zachary E. Davies, WSBA #41794  
Ressler & Tesh PLLC  
821 Second Avenue, Suite 2200  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Telephone: (206) 388-0333  
Fax: (206) 388-0197  
Email: tim@resslertesh.com;  
allen@resslertesh.com;  
jonathan@resslertesh.com;  
zach@resslertesh.com